

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/880,388	06/13/2001		Yoshikazu Shingu	SHC0131	5198
7	<b>'590</b>	07/03/2003			
Michaal S Gz	ybowsk		EXAMINER		
Butzel Long 350 South Mai	n Street		WEBB, JAMISUE A		
Suite 300 Ann Arbor, MI 48104			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3761	11
				DATE MAILED: 07/03/2003	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		N.N.
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/880,388	SHINGU ET AL.
,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jamisue A. Webb	3761
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this r: (1) a timely filed amendme peal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	R REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the pe fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration da (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	where later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH The date on which the petition under its of extension and the correspond to of the shortened statutory period to Office later than three months after than three months.	the mailing date of the final rejection.  HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ther 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension adding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appells 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:	
(a)  they raise new issues that would require f	urther consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see No		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ion in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:	oioetion(s):	·
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following real Newty proposed or amended claim(s)		d in a separate, timely filed amendment
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request application in condition for allowance because	e: See Continuation Sheet.	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendr explanation of how the new or amended claim	ment(s) a)∏ will not be ente ns would be rejected is provid	red or b)⊠ will be entered and an ded below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:	·
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		·
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .	•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)□ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)
10. Other:		Num
		WEILUN LO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner has argued that examiner has no support for inherency that the Kline reference teaches the use of the bonds on the outersurface of the side flaps due to the fact that the examiner has not relied upon prior art stating that laminating two pieces of materials using heat, pressure or ultrasounic means always forms fusion spots that extend to the outersurfaces of the pieces of materials. The examiner has not stated that the spots extend to the outersurfaces of the material, but has stated that in order for to sheets of materials to be attached together using spots 250, which the Kline reference indicates can be heat, pressure or ultrasound bonds, then it has to be attached together from the outside. There is no way that two pieces of materials which are laminated together using the bonds of 250, can be ultrasonice, heat or pressure bonded from the inside, they have to be be attached from an outer surface, therefore the bonds are located on an outer surface. Where as the adhesive may be used to attache them from an inside surface only, Kline discloses that other means of attachment can be used, therefore discloses an embodiment where the side panels can bonded from an outer surface, there is no other way to attach them when using the heat, pressure or ultrasounic bonds. The applicant seems to be arguing that Kline can be attached using adhesive from the inside, and whereas this may be true, there are also other embodiments disclosed by Kline, where adhesive is not used. Therefore rejection stands.